BIOPHYSICS

A0005

Electron Transfer in Metalloproteins

A R Bizzarri and S Cannistraro, University of Tuscia, Viterbo, Italy

© 2005, Elsevier Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

s0005 Introduction

In the energy-capture machinery of biology, espe-P0005 cially in photosynthesis and in mitochondria, there are chains of redox enzymes containing metal ions, including iron, heme-iron, copper, manganese arranged in order so as to assist electron flow and generate charge separation which represent the first step in the energy-capture process. The ability of transition metals to exist in more than one stable oxidation state makes them suitable catalysts for biological processes that require transfer of electrons. The oxidation/reduction centers are placed in order of their potentials, expressed in terms of free-energy differences, so that the directionality of the electron flow is thermodynamically determined. Along the bioenergetic pathways, electrons flow down a gradient of potential energy that spans a range of less than 1.2 eV.

However, biological material is not constituted by regular arrays of lattice pointing to allow electrons to travel over long distances as delocalized Bloch waves; therefore, the familiar concepts of metallic conduction, through partly filled conduction bands, cannot apply. Instead, biology employs redox centers which are localized potential wells, among which electron transfer (ET) occurs through a hopping mechanism from center to center. The redox centers are nearly always found buried beneath the protein surface, the protein coating serving as an insulator which protects the redox center from short-circuiting ETs. Electrons can be transported across protein-domain interfaces or along a redox chain which consists of a number of proteins (see, for instance, Figure 1), the related processes involving several reaction steps.

In ET intra-protein, the redox centers are held at a fixed distance and in a fixed orientation with respect to each other in order to presumably adapt optimally to physiological needs. When two redox centers, that are consecutive in the ET train, are located on different proteins, ET requires proteins first to form a docking or associative complex in which the partners assemble transiently through complementary contact surfaces. The mutual approach, initially, may be governed by long-range electrostatic forces reflecting the overall charges on the two partners. At shorter



F0005 **Figure 1** Photosynthesis pathways as found in green plants. Electrons are extracted from water by photosystem II and driven through a complex coupled ET pathway to the CO₂ reduction cycle by photosystem I.

ranges, either hydrophobic or Coulomb interactions between opposite charge patches, or combinations of both, determine the structure of the docking complex and the relative orientation of the partners. Generally, for successful ET within a docking complex, the partners should have motional degrees of freedom that allow them to perform a rolling or sliding motion with respect to each other.

The peculiarity of ET processes mediated by P0020 metalloproteins is that they occur over long distances, in a very fast, directional and efficient way, the donor and the acceptor proteins having redox centers separated by distances between 5-25 Å. In contrast to usual chemical reactions which involve the making and breaking of bonds and proceed via a well-defined reaction coordinate, the reactants and products of a protein ET are often chemically indistinguishable.

ET reactions in metalloproteins are usually termed P0025 as outer-sphere ETs, since they take place with no or very weak coupling between donor and acceptor sites of the electron.

Typical ET rate constants for biological processes P0030 lie ~ 10^2 – 10^3 s⁻¹. Optimization of biological ET for speed seems to be necessary to compete with back reactions, especially to prevent charge recombination to occur.

P0035 Several factors are suggested to influence the ET efficiency, such as the distance between the redox centers, the role of the protein medium, the possible existence of conducting pathways, the role of the solvent medium, and the assistance of the protein and solvent dynamics. Indeed, the dynamics of the intervening medium through which an electron passes can finely tune the ET process. In particular, a prominent role is played by low-frequency, collective vibrational modes.

P0040

Different kinds of ET metalloproteins can be found in biological processes. Among others, are cytochromes in which the active site is the heme consisting of iron coordinated to the porphyrin group, and the redox potentials for the Fe^{+3}/Fe^{+2} couple ranging from 5 to 260 mV. Iron-sulfur proteins are characterized by iron-sulfur clusters centered on the iron with redox potentials covering a range, from -700 to 500 meV. Blue copper proteins, in which the copper ion directly coordinates to amino acid residues in a distorted tetrahedral arrangement, are characterized by peculiar spectroscopic properties and a redox potential for the $Cu^{+2}/$ Cu^{+1} couple from 130 to 680 mV.

More recently, understanding the mechanism of P0045 electron transduction through biological macromolecules has assumed fundamental importance not only in increasing our knowledge of the ET process, ubiquitous in biology, but also in the development of novel, improved bioelectronic devices.

The ET Rate: A General Overview

ET theory describes the transition of an electron from a donor D to an acceptor A, the reactant and product, DA and D+A-, representing the systembefore and after the ET process, respectively. The states $|DA\rangle$ and $|D^+A^-\rangle$ can be expressed by the combination of the wave functions for the two redox centers:

$$DA \rangle = (\overline{\Phi}_D \Phi_A), \qquad |D^+A^- \rangle = (\Phi_D \overline{\Phi}_A)$$

where Φ_D and Φ_A are the complete wave functions describing the nuclear and electronic motions of the two redox centers, the bar denoting the electron participating in the transition.

The traditional transition-state theory of the rates for chemical reactions involves motion along a potential energy surface in which the reactant atoms gain energy from thermal collisions, surmount an activation energy barrier to achieve a transition state, and spontaneously decay into the product. In contrast to these, usually called adiabatic reactions, where formation of the transition state leads almost inevitably to the product, the probability of longdistance ET for such a transition is small. Accordingly, a nonadiabatic description for the ET reaction is more appropriate.

For nonadiabatic ET reactions, the first-order rate constant $k_{\rm ET}$

$$|\mathrm{DA}\rangle \xrightarrow{k_{\mathrm{ET}}} |\mathrm{D}^+\mathrm{A}^-\rangle$$

can be conveniently expressed by the Fermi's golden rule obtained from the time-dependent quantum mechanical perturbation theory:

$$k_{\rm ET} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} V_R^2 \rho \qquad [1]$$

where \hbar is the Planck's constant divided by 2π , V_R^2 is the square of the quantum matrix element for electronic coupling between donor and acceptor, averaged over all possible thermal fluctuations of the system, ρ is the density of states, that is, the number of states per unity interval of energy.

The electronic coupling V_R^2 is the principal origin of the distance dependence of the ET constant rate. The simplest model, neglecting the role of the intervening medium, predicts that V_R^2 falls off exponentially with the distance R between the donor D and the acceptor A according to $e^{-\beta R}$, where β is P0060

P0065

P0055

S0010 P0050 an attenuation factor. In order to obtain ET rates $\sim 10^2 - 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, *R* must be in the range 10–20 Å (see also in the following).

Under the requirement of the Franck–Condon principle, stating that during the almost instantaneous ET process the nuclei do not change either their positions or their momenta, the ET rate can be expressed in the form

$$k_{\rm ET} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} V_{\rm R}^2 F_{\rm C}$$
 [2]

where $F_{\rm C}$ is the Franck–Condon weighted density of states reflecting the overlap of the donor and acceptor nuclear and solvational wave functions.

P0075

P0070

Accordingly, ET will occur at nuclear configurations for which the total potential energy of the reactants and surrounding medium is equal to that of the products and the surrounding medium. The quantity $F_{\rm C}$ is a sum of the square of the overlap integrals $S_{\nu_{\rm DA}\nu_{\rm D+A^-}}$ of the vibrational wave functions of the reactants ($\nu_{\rm DA}$) with the corresponding ones of the products ($\nu_{\rm D^+A^-}$), weighted by Boltzmann factors:

$$F_{\rm C} = \sum_{\nu_{\rm DA}} \sum_{\nu_{\rm D+A^-}} S^2_{\nu_{\rm DA}\nu_{\rm D+A^-}} p(\nu_{\rm DA})$$
[3]

with

$$S_{\nu_{\mathrm{DA}}\nu_{\mathrm{D^+A^-}}} = \int \chi_{\nu_{\mathrm{DA}}} \chi_{\nu_{\mathrm{D^+A^-}}} \,\mathrm{d}x$$

where $\chi_{\nu_{DA}}$ and $\chi_{\nu_{D^+A^-}}$ are the wave functions for the states ν_{DA} and $\nu_{D^+A^-}$, respectively, x being the oscillation coordinate; $p(\nu_{DA})$ is the equilibrium Boltzmann probability of finding the system in the vibrational state ν_{DA} . The sum is over any given set of the vibrational quantum numbers $(\nu_{DA}, \nu_{D^+A^-})$ of the reactant DA and the product D^+A^- , including the solvent, such a sum being, however, limited by the fact that only a small number of states have a finite overlap and hence contributes to the final term.

The calculation of $F_{\rm C}$ can be extremely proble-

matic and different expressions for the Frank-

Condon factor can be obtained depending on the

approximations done. Classical, semiclassical, and

quantum mechanical approaches, according to the

treatment of the nuclear motions, have been followed

P0080

P0085

to work out useful expressions for $F_{\rm C}$. When all the vibrational frequencies are relatively small, for example, $\hbar\omega \ll k_{\rm B}T$, the vibrational manifold of DA and D⁺A⁻ can be treated as a continuum and the passage across the activation barrier can be described classically in terms of the activated complex theory, properly modified for nonadiabatic reactions. Accordingly, the ET rate is related to the free activation energy, ΔG^* , of the reaction as follows:

$$k_{\rm ET} = k_{\rm ET}(0) \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta G^*/k_{\rm B}T}$$
^[4]

where the pre-exponential factor $k_{\text{ET}}(0)$ defines the limiting rate of the reaction.

Generally, classical results are valid only at temperatures high enough so that the vibrations are fully excited. The classical approach is discussed in the next section where the expression worked out by Marcus for $F_{\rm C}$, and hence for the ET reaction rate, is presented. When $\hbar\omega \ge k_{\rm B}T$, the discrete nature of the vibrational manifold must be taken into account. The ET rate may become temperature independent and a quantum mechanical view is more appropriate. Moreover, under these conditions, electron tunneling may become important. This aspect will be briefly presented in the following.

Marcus Theory of ET

The simplest theoretical treatment of the rate of ET in metalloproteins is due to Marcus using a classical harmonic oscillator model, which generates parabolic potential energy curves. Indeed, this approach represents the most insightful and used theoretical framework to interpret experimental ET results in metalloproteins.

The ET process can be seen as an electron jump from DA to and D^+A^- and requires one to match the Franck–Condon principle, which implies that the nuclear configuration and, in addition, the conservation of energy are the same immediately after the ET as before. Under these requirements, the electron jump takes place in the vicinity of the crossover, nuclear configuration C (Figure 2).

Thermal fluctuations and/or vibrations in some coordinates will be required for reaction to occur. Since the charge distribution of the protein matrix is different before and after the ET process, the surrounding medium will be polarized differently in the two states. Therefore, the coordinates involved into the ET reaction include vibrational coordinates of the protein, and the vibrational and orientational coordinates of the surrounding solvent. All the fluctuating nuclear coordinates relevant to the ET reaction are usually lumped together into the socalled reaction coordinate O. The dependence of the potential energy curves of the reactant DA and the product D^+A^- on Q is assumed to be quadratic, according to the harmonic approximation, and unchanged by the ET reaction (Figure 2a).

P0090

S0015

P0095

P0100



F0010 **Figure 2** (a) The harmonic potential energy of the reactant (DA) and product (D^+A^-) as a function of the reaction coordinate Q. ΔG° is the standard free energy, λ is the reorganization energy, and ΔG^* is the free energy of activation. (b) Influence of the electronic coupling on the potential energy curves. In both cases, the ordinate represents the potential energy of the nuclei of the whole system: donor + acceptor + medium.

P0110 In the nonadiabatic case, the passage through C does not usually cause transition from DA to D^+A^- . Once the system reaches the intersection of the potential curves C, the probability of going from DA to D^+A^- depends on a number of factors such as the extent of coupling of the electronic orbitals of the two reactants, which in turn depends on the separation distance of the two reactants, the separation between the two potential energy curves over a vertical distance being given by $2V_R$, where V_R is the electronic coupling element between DA and D+A-(Figure 2b). If the gap is large enough, as it occurs in adiabatic reactions, the transition DA to D⁺A⁻ will take place each time the crossing point is reached. Conversely, for small V_R as in nonadiabatic ET reactions, the electron, for most of the times it reaches the crossing point, continues its motion along the curve DA (upward-running arrow in Figure **2b**). Only once in a while, the electron will make the transition to D^+A^- when the crossing is reached (downward-running curve in Figure 2b).

In the framework of the Marcus theory, the electron jumping from the equilibrium coordinate Q_{DA} of DA to the equilibrium coordinate $Q_{D^+A^-}$ of D⁺A⁻, can be described in terms of the free energy of activation, ΔG^* which is related to two experimental observables, ΔG° , the standard free energy and λ , the reorganization energy. ΔG^* is the energy required to reach the point C from DA, overcoming the activation barrier. The standard free energy or driving force, ΔG° , is the energy difference between the ground states of DA and D⁺A⁻, respectively, and can be expressed as

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = zF(E_{\rm A}^{\circ} - E_{\rm D}^{\circ})$$
^[5]

where z is the number of electrons transferred, F is the Faraday constant, and E_{A}° and E_{D}° are the midpoint potentials of the acceptor and donor centers.

The reorganization energy λ is the free energy required to move all the atoms from their equilibrium positions before the ET to the equilibrium positions they would have after the ET without transferring the electron. By referring to **Figure 2a**, the activation barrier ΔG^* and the reorganization energy λ are

$$\Delta G^* = \frac{1}{2}k_{\rm H}X^2, \qquad \lambda = \frac{1}{2}k_{\rm H}Q^2 \qquad [6]$$

where X is the distance between the equilibrium coordinate Q_{DA} and the coordinate of the crossing point C, and Q is the distance between the equilibrium coordinates Q_{DA} and $Q_{D^+A^-}$, k_H being the force constant of the harmonic potential energy corresponding to both DA and D⁺A⁻.

The difference between the free energy and the activation energy can be expressed as

$$\Delta G^* - \Delta G^\circ = \frac{1}{2} k_{\rm H} (Q - X)^2$$

= $\frac{1}{2} k_{\rm H} Q^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_{\rm H} X^2 - k_{\rm H} Q X$ [7]

By rearranging eqns [6] and [7], one gets

$$X = \frac{\lambda + \Delta G^{\circ}}{k_{\rm H}Q}$$

and finally

$$\Delta G^* = \frac{1}{2} k_{\rm H} \frac{(\lambda + \Delta G^\circ)^2}{k_{\rm H}^2 Q^2} = \frac{(\lambda + \Delta G^\circ)^2}{4\lambda} \qquad [9]$$

Therefore, from eqn [4], the ET rate can be expressed

P0115

P0120

by

$$k_{\rm ET} = k_{\rm ET}(0) \exp\left[\frac{\left(\Delta G^{\circ} + \lambda\right)^2}{4\pi\lambda k_{\rm B}T}\right]$$
[10]

where the pre-exponential factor $k_{\rm ET}(0)$ assumes the form

$$k_{\rm ET}(0) = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} V_R^2 \left(\frac{1}{4\pi\lambda k_{\rm B}T}\right)^{1/2}$$
[11]

leading to the final expression:

$$k_{\rm ET} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} V_R^2 \left(\frac{1}{4\pi\lambda k_{\rm B}T}\right)^{-1/2} \\ \times \exp\left[\frac{\left(\Delta G^\circ + \lambda\right)^2}{4\pi\lambda k_{\rm B}T}\right]$$
[12]

- P0130 The ET rate can be modulated by thermodynamic (ΔG°) and intrinsic (λ) factors and, in addition, it varies with the temperature. Notably, the optimal rate is obtained when $-\Delta G^{\circ}$ matches λ , such a condition having been exploited to extract information, from $k_{\rm ET}$ values, on V_R and λ (see below).
- P0135 As already mentioned, the final Marcus expression provides a sound approach to describe the ET processes in metalloproteins. However, while it well takes into account the temperature dependence of the ET rate at high temperature, it fails at low temperatures, at which a deviation from an Arrhenius-like behavior, or even temperature-independence (at very low *T*), has been observed.

S0020 Quantum Mechanical Theories of ET

P0140 A semiclassical approach has been followed by Hopfield to derive an expression for the ET rate. He treated oscillators classically, but assumed quantized energy levels. By introducing the probability distributions $D_D(E)$ and $D_A(E')$, corresponding to the energy required to remove an electron from the donor and to give an electron to the acceptor, respectively, the density of states in eqn [1] can be expressed by

$$\rho = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} D_{\mathrm{D}}(E) D_{\mathrm{A}}(E') \,\mathrm{d}E \,\mathrm{d}E' \qquad [13]$$

P0145 He assumed, moreover, that both the distributions

follow a Gaussian form

$$D_{\rm D}(E) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_{\rm D}^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left[\frac{-(E+E_{\rm D}-\lambda_{\rm D})^2}{2\sigma_{\rm D}^2}\right],$$
$$D_{\rm A}(E') = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_{\rm A}^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left[\frac{-(E'+E_{\rm A}+\lambda_{\rm A})^2}{2\sigma_{\rm A}^2}\right] \qquad [14]$$

with standard deviations σ_D and σ_A , respectively; E_D is the energy of the ground state of the reduced form of the donor and E_A is the reduced form of the acceptor; $D_D(E)$ is centered below E_D by an amount λ_D , because ordinarily the nuclear configuration in the initial reduced state will not be an equilibrium configuration for the final, oxidized state; for the same reason, the center of $D_A(E')$ is displaced upwards from E_A by an amount λ_A .

By taking into account the Franck–Condon principle and the conservation of energy in the process (E = E'), eqn [13] becomes

$$\rho = F_{\rm C} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} D_{\rm D}(E) D_{\rm A}(E) dE$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left[\frac{-(\Delta E - \lambda)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \qquad [15]$$

where $\sigma^2 = \sigma_D^2 + \sigma_A^2$, $\lambda = \lambda_D + \lambda_A$, and $\Delta E = E_A - E_D$ being the energy gap of the reaction.

By assuming that both the donor and the acceptor have a quadratic dependence on the nuclear coordinate with the same force constant $k_{\rm H}$, and a spacing between energy levels equal to $\hbar\omega_{\rm D}$ and $\hbar\omega_{\rm A}$ for the donor and the acceptor respectively, it comes out that

$$\sigma_{\rm D}^2 = \hbar \omega_{\rm D} \lambda_{\rm D} \coth(\hbar \omega_{\rm D}/2k_{\rm H}T)$$

$$\sigma_{\rm A}^2 = \hbar \omega_{\rm A} \lambda_{\rm A} \coth(\hbar \omega_{\rm A}/2k_{\rm H}T)$$

Therefore, the resulting ET rate becomes

$$k_{\rm ET} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} V_R^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{-1/2} \\ \times \exp\frac{-(\Delta E - \lambda)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$
[16]

Notably, in the limit of high temperatures, σ approaches $k_{\rm B}T$ and eqn [16] results in being formally similar to the Marcus expression, once $-\Delta E$ is identified by ΔG^* . Conversely, at very low temperature, the Frank–Condon factor $F_{\rm C}$ becomes temperature independent, in agreement with some experimental data.

However, the limiting expression at low temperature is not in complete agreement with experimental data. Significant improvements can be reached by a P0155

P0160

P0165

full quantum mechanical approach also taking into account the vibrations coupled to changes of the electronic state. A variety of quantum mechanical treatments, with different degrees of approximations, have been developed. Under the assumption that the system consists of a single group of harmonic oscillators and that there is a single prevailing mode, from eqn [3], the Levich–Degonadze–Jortner expression can be derived for $F_{\rm C}$:

$$F_{\rm C} = \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} e^{-S(2n+1)} \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) \\ \times \frac{\Delta E}{2\hbar\omega} I_P \left[2S\sqrt{n(n+1)}\right]$$
[17]

where $\hbar\omega$ is the characteristic frequency, ΔE is the energy gap of the reaction, *S* is equal to $\lambda/\hbar\omega$, I_p is the modified Bessel function of the order *P*, and *n* is given by

$$n = \left[\mathrm{e}^{\hbar\omega/kT} - 1\right]^{-1}$$

The ET rate can be then expressed by

$$k_{\rm ET} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} V_R^2 \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} e^{-S(2n+1)} \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) \\ \times \frac{\Delta E}{2\hbar\omega} I_P \left[2S\sqrt{n(n+1)}\right]$$
[18]

P0170 In the limit of very high temperature, eqn [18] again reduces to the Marcus expression. In addition, it well reproduces the experimental trend with temperature. However, it does not include the coupling of lowfrequency vibrational modes of the redox center to the ET process. In order to take into account for lowfrequency modes, a correction to eqn [18] has been done by including the oscillator zero-point energy considering the change of frequency, leading to an expression similar to eqn [12], containing $-\Delta G^{\circ}$ instead of ΔE .

S0025 Dependence of ET Rate on the Protein Matrix

P0175 A crucial aspect of the ET process is represented by the dependence of $k_{\rm ET}$ on the medium between the redox centers. To obtain information on the variation of $k_{\rm ET}$ with the nature of the medium, first it is necessary to eliminate, or at least to minimize, the dependence of $k_{\rm ET}$ on both the driving force and reorganization energy. In the framework of the Marcus theory, this can be achieved by extrapolating $k_{\rm ET}$ when $\Delta G^{\circ} = -\lambda$. According to eqn [12], the exponential trend on the driving force and reorganization energy disappears. The resulting rate remains only weakly dependent on λ ($k_{\rm ET} \sim 1/(\lambda)^{1/2}$), and its change with the medium is mainly reflected by V_R .

The dependence of V_R on the intervening medium can be cast in the form

$$V_R^2 = V_R^{02} f_M^2$$
 [19]

where V_R^{o} represents the electronic coupling between DA and D⁺A⁻ when the redox centers are in van der Waals contact, and f_M is a dimensionless attenuation factor which varies between 1 (van der Waals contact) and 0 (infinite distance). The dependence of f_M on the detailed structure of the medium connecting the two redox centers has been widely investigated. Only two cases will be considered in the following.

In the first approach, the protein intervening medium is pictured as an organic glass, the random, disordered connections between the two redox centers constituting the overall path of ET. In this framework, the distance between the donor and the acceptor centers is the parameter governing the ET rate. The dependence of $f_{\rm M}^2$ on the distance, *R*, between the center of the edge atom of the donor and that of acceptor can be expressed by

$$f_{\rm M}^2 = {\rm e}^{-\beta(R-R_{\rm o})}$$
[20]

where the exponential coefficient of decay, β , quantitatively describes the nature of the intervening medium with respect to its efficiency to mediate the ET process, for instance, through the propagation of the relevant wave functions. A variation of ET rates over 12 orders of magnitude can satisfactorily be accounted for by a distance dependence as in eqns [19] and [20], with $\beta = 1.4 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ and $R_o = 3.6 \text{ Å}$. More generally, values of β in the range 0.7–1.4 Å⁻¹ are found to reproduce the experimental k_{ET} values of metalloproteins.

An alternative description of the dependence of $f_{\rm M}^2$ with the nature of the medium invokes the so-called pathway model which is in some sense based on the mechanism of super-exchange, owing to the fact that the electronic coupling between the donor and acceptor wave functions is mediated by a third center that connects the two wave functions. A pathway is defined as a combination of interacting bonds that link the donor and the acceptor. Three types of steps are distinguished, depending on whether the transfer occurs between atoms that are connected through a covalent bond (C), a hydrogen bond (H), or not connected at all (S); in the latter case, the electron must be transferred through space. The corresponding attenuation factor for a transfer

P0190

P0185

path can be expressed as

$$f_{\rm M} = \prod_i^{N_{\rm C}} \varepsilon_i^{\rm C} \prod_j^{N_{\rm H}} \varepsilon_j^{\rm H} \prod_k^{N_{\rm S}} \varepsilon_k^{\rm S} \qquad [21]$$

where $N_{\rm C}$, $N_{\rm H}$, $N_{\rm S}$ are the number of C, H, and S paths, respectively; ε are the individual attenuation factors corresponding to a particular step in the transfer path. Semi-empirical expressions for the ε -factors are

$$\varepsilon_{\rm C} = 0.6, \qquad \varepsilon_{\rm H} = 0.36 {\rm e}^{[-1.7(R-2.8)]}$$

 $\varepsilon_{\rm S} = 0.6 {\rm e}^{[-1.7(R-1.4)]}$

in which *R* is the distance between the two atoms exchanging the electron. Such an approach predicts that α -helices are characterized by a lower conductivity than β -sheets.

s0030 Reorganization Energy in the ET Process

P0195 When an electron is transferred in an intermolecular process, through the protein matrix, the distribution of charge is different before and after the transfer, as already mentioned. These changes have to be accommodated by the local dielectric properties contributed by polarizability, local bonds, reorientation of polar side chains, dissociation or association of protolytic groups, movements of ions in the solvent, reorientation of solvent dipoles, etc. Additionally, the local structure of the redox center might undergo changes in configuration. All these physical effects are accounted for by the reorganization energy λ .

P0200 Marcus originally divided the reorganization energy into changes occurring at the redox center (inner sphere) and those occurring in the surrounding protein/water matrix (outer sphere). Accordingly, λ can be separated into: $\lambda = \lambda_i + \lambda_o$ where λ_i is the contribution to the reorganizational energy of the inner shell of atoms, close to the redox center, while λ_o refers to atoms further out, generically called "solvent."

P0205

The inner-sphere reorganization energy λ_i , which reflects redox-dependent nuclear perturbations of the redox centers, such as changes in bond lengths and angles, can be expressed in terms of the inner shell normal vibrational modes:

$$\lambda_{\rm i} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j} k_{\rm Hj} Q_j^2 \qquad [22]$$

where Q_j is the displacement from the equilibrium position of the *j*th normal coordinate caused by the

ET; the constant k_{Hj} being given by

$$k_{\rm Hj} = \frac{f_j^{\rm DA} f_j^{\rm D^+A^-}}{f_j^{\rm DA} + f_j^{\rm D^+A^-}}$$
[23]

where f_j^{DA} and $f_j^{\text{D}^+\text{A}^-}$ are the force constants at the equilibrium for DA to D⁺A⁻, respectively.

The outer-sphere reorganization energy, λ_o , which reflects changes in the surrounding medium, such as changes in solvent orientation, can be estimated from the polarizability of the solvent, as considered to be a continuous polar medium:

$$\lambda_{\rm o} = \frac{Ne}{4\pi\varepsilon_{\rm o}} \left[\frac{1}{2R_1} + \frac{1}{2R_2} - \frac{1}{R} \right] \left[\frac{1}{D_{\rm OP}} - \frac{1}{D_{\rm S}} \right] \quad [24]$$

where *Ne* is the charge transferred from the donor to the acceptor; R_1 and R_2 are the radii of the two spherical reactants when in contact and $R = R_1 + R_2$; D_{OP} is the square of the refractive index of the medium and D_S is the static dielectric constant; ε_0 is the permittivity of space.

For redox centers that are buried within a protein, $\lambda_{\rm o}$ may also include configurational changes in the protein matrix and, for interprotein reactions, in the interface between the donor and the acceptor proteins. It is to be noted that the higher the dielectric constant of the solvent, the larger is the value obtained for λ . Furthermore, for nonpolar solvent, $\lambda_{\rm o}$ vanishes and such a condition can be exploited to obtain information on $\lambda_{\rm i}$.

In the framework of the Marcus theory (see eqn [12] and Figure 2a), a decrease in the driving force, for constant λ , will displace the product potential energy upward, causing ΔG^* to increase and consequently the ET rate to decrease. Similarly, at constant $-\Delta G^\circ$, an increase in λ will increase the horizontal displacement of the product from the reactant, imposing a higher activation barrier. Depending on the sign of the quantity $(\Delta G^\circ + \lambda)$, one can distinguish the so-called normal region $[(\Delta G^\circ + \lambda) > 0]$, the activation-less region $[(\Delta G^\circ + \lambda) = 0]$, and the inverted region $[(\Delta G^\circ + \lambda) < 0]$. These conditions are qualitatively illustrated in Figure 3.

In the normal region $[(\Delta G^{\circ} + \lambda) > 0]$, an increase in the driving force accelerates the ET process, while it slows down in the inverted region, thus representing one of the most celebrated predictions of the Marcus expression. Notably, when $[\Delta G^{\circ} + \lambda = 0]$, the ET rate is least affected by variations of *T*, and λ . For $[(\Delta G^{\circ} + \lambda) < 0]$, the slower the ET rate, the greater is the energy liberated in the reaction, such a condition being involved in the phenomenon of chemiluminescence. Furthermore, in the inverted region, the extent of electron tunneling may become more relevant. P0210

P0215



F0015 **Figure 3** The harmonic potential energy of the states DA and D^+A^- as a function of the reaction coordinate Q, according to the Marcus theory, for three representative cases: $\Delta G^\circ + \lambda > 0$, normal region; $\Delta G^\circ + \lambda = 0$, activation-less region; $\Delta G^\circ + \lambda < 0$, inverted region.

P0230 The reorganization energy λ constitutes a crucial parameter in the ET process. Many evaluations by *ab initio* quantum mechanical calculations of λ have been done. However, despite their importance, direct and precise measurements of the reorganization energy λ are quite difficult. Generally, it can be extracted by measuring the dependence of the ET rate upon $-\Delta G^{\circ}$ and using the fact that, from the Marcus theory, the rate is expected to be maximal when $\Delta G^{\circ} = -\lambda$. Values for $\lambda \sim 0.7$ and 1 eV have been commonly found for intra and interprotein ET, respectively.

S0035 Perspectives and Final Remarks

P0235 Despite the large efforts devoted to investigate the ET process in metalloproteins, many aspects still remain far from being fully clarified. Recent developments in spectroscopic and scanning probe techniques, together with advanced computational approaches, could help in a further elucidation of the ET process in biomolecules. Laser ultrafast spectroscopy could get insights into the subtle relationships among the structure, dynamics, and functionality by also allowing one to explore the role of water dynamics in the ET process and to evaluate the reorganization energy. The mechanisms involved in intra and intermolecular ET could be deeply investigated by scanning tunneling microscopy and spectroscopy, whose role in the study of biological systems is rapidly growing. Molecular dynamics simulations, also integrated with quantum chemical calculations, can provide valuable information about possible ET pathways, elucidating at the same time, the interplay between the structural and dynamical behavior and the role played by collective motions.

From the practical side, the insights gained from these studies could lead to the introduction of new strategies to design synthetic ET systems with enhanced ET rates and efficiencies. In such a way, by exploiting their nanoscale dimensions, these molecules could be integrated into hybrid systems in the perspective to build nanodevices and nanobiosensors. See Also

PACS: 82.30.Fi; 82.39.Jn; 82.39.Tt

Further Reading

Devault D (1980) Quarterly Review of Biophysics 13: 364-387.

Hopfield JJ (1974) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 71: 3640-3644.

- Jortner J and Bixon M (eds.) (1999) *Electron Transfer: From Isolated Molecules to Biomolecules*, Advances in Chemical Physics, Wiley.
- Marcus RA and Sutin N (1985) Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 811: 265-322.
- Moser CC, Keske JM, Warncke K, Farid RS, and Dutton PL (1992) Nature 366: 796–802.